APPENDIX A COMMUNITY SURVEY RESULTS

TOWN OF KIRKLAND COMMUNITY SURVEY RESULTS

(results correspond to survey form, attached)

TOWN OF KIRKLAND/VILLAGE OF CLINTON¹

3) Age

21-24 = 0/0

 $25-44 = 38\%/32\%^2$

45-54 = 18%/15%

55-59 = 8%/9%

60-64 = 9%/7%

65-74 = 20%/23%

75-84 = 6%/11%

 $85+ = 1\%/3\%^3$

- 4) Sex
 - about 50/50, town and village
- 5) Occupation

white collar = 52%/34%

retired = 30%/60%

blue collar = 16%/6%

agriculture-related = 2%/0

6) Residential property owners = 91%/88%

Commercial property owners = 6%/6%

Residential renter = 2%/6%

Commercial renter = 1%/0

10) How long lived in Kirkland?

over 20 years = 48%/47%

16-20 years = 7%/8%

12-15 years = 10%/16%

6-11 years = 17%/15%

0-5 years = 18%/14%

¹ Village survey response results have been highlighted in italics.

² The most frequent response has been highlighted in bold.

³ The response rate of those 65 and over was somewhat high compared to the census data, 27% and 19% respectively.

- 11) What do you live on?

 State highway = 16%/13%

 Town road = 54%/0%
 - Town road = 54%/9%

Private road = 2%/2%

Seasonal road = 0/0

County highway = 25%/2%

Village street = 3%/74%

12) What type of dwelling do you live in?

Mobile homes = 2%/1%

Single family homes = 93%/77%

Duplex = 2%/3%

Multi-family = 2%/3%

Apartment building = 1%/16%

- 13) How many persons in your household?
 - 1 = 14%/14%
 - 2 = 40%/49%
 - 3 = 15%/19%
 - 4 = 18%/11%
 - 5 = 11%/6%
 - 6 = 2%/1%
- 14) What part of town do you live in?

Village of Clinton = 28%

Hamlet of Kirkland = 16%

Franklin Springs = 3%

Chuckery Corners = 7%

Clark Mills = 6%

Deansboro = 3%

College area = 6%

Rural = 23%

Other = 8%

15) Do you work in?

Town = 13%/6%

Village = 7%/17%

Clark Mills = 1%/1%

Retired = 31%/39%

Commute = 48%/37%

- 16) Where do you commute to?
 - Utica = 44%/48%
 - Rome = 6%/13%
 - New Hartford = 17%/10%
 - Outside Oneida County = 11%/13%
 - Inside Oneida County = 22%/16%
- 17) Water Supply?
 - Private well = 65%/10%
 - Village = 16%/89%
 - City of Utica = 19%/1%
- 18-20) Are you satisfied with water? Yes
 - Volume = 82%/99%
 - Pressure = 77%/94%
 - Quality = 63%/80%
- 21) Sewer Service?
 - Septic system = 69%/10%
 - Public sewer = 31%/90%
- 22-33)Most important characteristics of the town? Yes, Like.
 - Rural atmosphere = 97%/100%
 - Small population = 98%/99%
 - Farm/open land = 98%/100%
 - Small town government = 88%/94%
 - Lack of public water and sewer = 31%/53%
 - Recreational areas 89%/90%
 - Lack of stores and businesses = 65%/40%
 - Lack of industry = 66%/68%
 - School system = 87%/92%
 - Local taxes = 28%/36%
 - Traffic = 37%/20%
- 34-47)Town services and facilities? Quality of (Q) and level of importance (I). Poor/Good/Excellent.
 - Schools(Q) = 2/33/65% 3/35/62%
 - Schools(I) = 8/12/80% 4/12/84%
 - Fire Protection(Q) = 1/29/70% 0/16/84%
 - Fire Protection(I) = 1/8/91% 0/5/95%
 - Road Maintenance(Q) = 10/56/34% 2/56/42%
 - Road Maintenance(I) = 1/34/65% 5/29/66%

Snow Removal(Q) = 5/45/50% - 7/40/53%Snow Removal(I) = 0/26/74% - 6/27/67%

Emergency Services(Q) = 2/39/59% - 6/27/67%Emergency Services(I) = 1/14/85% - 5/17/78%

Library(Q) = 8/57/35% - 7/40/53%Library(I) = 7/48/45% - 6/40/54%

Police Protection(Q) = 9/58/33% - 12/55/33%Police Protection(I) = 3/22/75% - 6/16/78%

Annual Bulk Trash Pickup(Q) = 34/44/22% - 9/54/37%Annual Bulk Trash Pickup(I) = 4/37/59% - 4/44/52%

Town Government(Q) = 13/77/11% - 7/71/22%Town Government(I) = 4/44/52% - 4/46/50%

Real Property Assmt.(Q) = 29/63/8% - 19/67/14%Real Property Assmt.(I) = 1/31/68% - 7/32/61%

Enforcement of Town Ordinances(Q) = 20/69/11% - 14/72/14%Enforcement of Town Ordinances(I) = 3/48/49% - 8/40/52%

Town Parks/Recreational Areas(Q) = 5/58/37% - 6/51/43%Town Parks/Recreational Areas(I) = 7/58/35% - 7/52/41%

Street Lighting(Q) = 19/68/13% - 4/67/29%Street Lighting(I) = 16/55/29% - 8/54/38%

Health Care Facilities(Q) = 39/49/12% - 27/59/14%Health Care Facilities(I) = 12/36/52% - 7/38/55%

Activities & Facilities for Youth(Q) = 19/63/18% - 21/60/19%Activities & Facilities for Youth(I) = 8/41/51% - 11/36/53%

Activities & Facilities for Sr. Citizens(Q) = 7/68/25% - 8/70/22% Activities & Facilities for Sr. Citizens(I) = 21/53/26% - 17/43/40%

68-74)Encourage or discourage certain land uses? - Encourage Residential development = 58%/50%

Tract or development housing = 13%/16%

Cluster development = 21%/18%

Commercial development = 51%/55%

Industrial development = 37%/43% Agricultural uses = 93%/83% Tax Exempt housing = 29%/33%

- 75) Would you feel the same if increased taxes were necessary? Yes 58%/68%
- 76) Should housing density be controlled? Yes 92%/93%
- 77) Even if it means giving up certain property rights? 70%/75%
- 78) Minimum lot size recommended in rural areas?

0.5 acres = 21%/17%

1 acre = 45%/55%

2 acres = 23%/21%

5 acres = 11%/7%

79-85)Is increased regulatory protection needed beyond existing state and federal regs.? - Yes Rivers - 55%/55%

Wester 1- 40g (52g

Wetlands - 48%/53%

Floodplains - 48%/23%

Farmland - 56%/55%

Woodlands - 58%/58%

Historic Sites - 52%/58% Scenic areas - 51%/56%

- 86) Would you pay higher taxes for the above protection? Yes 58%/75%
- 87) What are some of the positive features of the town which should be reinforced and supported?

A general overview of the responses revealed that residents feel the most positive attribute the town has is its existing atmosphere, with over 120 comments. About 34 responses noted the value of the village green and associated activities. About 49 respondents noted the particular importance of farmland, the rural atmosphere and open space.

Many others commented on the excellence of the school system, about 50, and the library, about 25. About 30 respondents noted the positive attributes of the KAC. Other positive attributes that were noted a number of times included the college, the pool and the arena.

88) What are some of the problem areas in the town which should be given attention?

By far the largest number of responses received for this question revolved around the traffic issue, about 195, with 114 of those specifying truck traffic. Related concerns included certain areas that lack sidewalks and the need for bike/ski trails (suggested locations were along Oriskany Creek and to the village).

The next largest group involved concerns about "excessive" development, about 42. Some noted specific concerns about large developments, others noted developments on Kellog Street and still others noted the loss of farmland and open space.

Another large group of respondents, about 31, expressed concerns about taxes. These comments were concerned about the high taxes, the existing method of assessment and the number of tax-free properties.

Other concerns revolved around the lack of sewer and water (or lack of access to), about 30. About 4 responses noted the lack of industry as a concern.

Some respondents were also concerned about a lack of facilities for youth, about 12. Some noted a lack of facilities for teenagers, others playground equipment and others Clark Mills.

Others noted a particular concern about the character and safety of the Clark Mills Corners, about 4. Other concerns included a need for bus service to Clark Mills, a lack of trash pickup, loose dogs and an overall need for more coordination between the town and the village, particularly a need to combine the village and town police forces.

89) Please use the space below for anything else you would like to say about the type of development you would like to see in the Town of Kirkland.

Most of those who responded here used this space to comment on the need to control development, about 48. These comments ranged from a need for better planning; to a need to control lot size; to a need for affordable homes; to keeping development out of the farmland.

Many commented on issues related to taxes, about 26. Others noted traffic concerns, about 13 and others the lack of water and sewer services, about 12. About 8 respondents suggested the combination of village and town police forces. About 7 suggested improvements to recreational facilities, particularly tennis courts (and a bike path suggestion along the old railroad bed from Clinton to Oriskany Falls) and about 5 noted the need for youth activities. About 7 more noted the lack of commercial and industrial development as a problem.

adı	ilt household members.
4 4	Quastion 1: Survey #
g - 32.	Age: Age: Question 1: Survey #
42.	Sex: () () Male $= 1$ () () Female $= 2$ Household $#2 = 18$
53.	Occupation:
_	
	Are you a:
	() Residential property owner () Commercial property owner () Residential renter () Commercial renter () Commercial renter
10 5.	How long have you been a Kirkland Town Resident?
	() () 0-5 years = () () 16-20 years = 4 () () 6-11 years = 2 () () 0 over 20 years = 3
118.	Do you live on a:
	() State Highway =/ () Seasonal Road = 9 () Town Road = 2 () County Highway = 5 () Private Road = 3 () Village Street = 6
127.	What type of dwelling do you live in?
_	() Mobile Home () In Park =
	() In Lot=2 () Single Family Home = 3 () Duplex = 4 () Multiple Family House = 5 () Apartment Building = 6
138.	How many persons reside in your household:
145.	What part of the Town do you reside in?
	() Village of Clinton / () Deansboro - () () Hamlet of Kirkland - 2 () College Area - () () Franklin Springs - 3 () Rural - 8 () Chuckery Corners - area - 4 () Other 9
15 20.	Do you work in the:
	() () Town = () () Retired = 9 () () Village of Clinton = 2 () () Commute = 3 () () Hamlet of Clark Mills = 3
16	If you commute to work, what village or city do you travel to?

· 17#	What is your water supply?
	() Private well =/ () Village of Clinton =2 () City of Utica =3
18-21=	Are you satisfied with your available water supply?
18 11 20	- volume: Yes () ()=/ No () ()=0 - pressure: Yes () ()=/ No () ()=0 - quality: Yes () ()=/ No () ()=0
21	What is your sewer service?
	() Septic Tank =/ () Public Sewer =2
33	From the following list, what characteristics of the Town of Kirkland are most important in terms of your remaining a Town resident?
	Please check () to indicate whether you consider this a positive or negative attribute of the Town:
	Like =/ Dislike =O
2342672233333333333333333333333333333333	#. Rural Atmosphere () () () () () #. Small Population () () () () #. Farm/Open Land () () () () #. Small Town Government () () () () #. Lack of Public Water & Sewer () () () () #. Recreational Areas () () () () #. Lack of Stores & Businesses () () () () #. Lack of Industry () () () () #. School System () () () () #. Local Taxes () () () () () #. Traffic () () () () () #. Other () () () () ()
34-6	Rate the following services and facilities as excellent, good, or poor by circling the appropriate letter. Also indicate how important these services and facilities are (or would be if made available to you and your family). Write in services and facilities if necessary. Quality of Level of Importance
	Excellent/Good/Poor Very/Somewhat/Not
36 37 78 39 40 41 42 43 44 45	E E=3GG=2PP V V=3SS=1NN B. Fire Protection E E "GG"PP" V V"SS"NN C. Road Maintenance E E GG PP V V SS NN D. Snow Removal E E GG PP V V SS NN E. Emergency Services E E GG PP V V SS NN F. Library E E GG PP V V SS NN Police Protection E E GG PP V V SS NN

49		· #.	T 1	Bulk 7	Trash		. .	- 8	C	c=	-2 n		=1	.,		-2 -		. 4		_ /
750	51.	~ F.	Town Co	vernme	ent		1 1 1 1	11	G .	.G	ZP II D	P	"		7.7	<i>=3</i> _S	S	N	N	-1
52	53 -	· F.	Real Pr	operty	/ Assm	ıt.	EE			G					V	" S	S	// N	N	11
54	55 %	, K.	Enforce	ment o	of Tow	/n		•	•	•		-		V	V	3	3	IA	IN	
6	57	₹.	Ordinan Town Pa	1/			E E		G			P			V		s		N	
52	de la	· 14-	Recreat	'l Are	eas	8	E E		G	G	P	P		V	V	S	S	N	N	
PO	61	₹7.	Recreat Street Health	Light: Care	Lng	3	E E	8	G	G	P	P		V	V	S	S	N	N	
62	633	0 .	Facilit Activit	ies ar	nd		E E		G	G	P	P		V	V	S	S	N	N	
1			T	ies fo	r You	th :	ЕЕ		G	G	Р	P		V	V	S	s	N	N	
54	65.	₽.	Activit Facilit	ies ar ies fo	nd										• •	J	_	-1		
66	67	€.	Citizen Other _	S		`	E E E E		G G	G G	P P	P P			V V		s s		N N	
68	15. 8-24	OT (you feel the foll write i	owing	land	use:	s?	Сi	rc	le	the	or e a	dis	cou	cag iat	re gr :e le	cow	th		
										1	Enc	our	age			Dis	sco	ura	ge	
	68		Posidon	-:-1 D																
			Residen Tract o				nt Hou	cin	~		E E		E =	-/)	D		0
	100	e .	Cluster	Devel	ODMen	+		3 III	9		E		E	[1)			
19.4 1. Mar.	21	Ð.	Commerc. Industr	ial De	velop	ment	=				E		E E E)			
	72	F .	Industr	ial De	velop	ment	=				E E		E			Ι)			
	20		Agricul Tax Exe	tural	Use :						E		E)	D		
10.	/ ::	G.	(i.e. N								E		E			Γ)	D		
			,	0 - 119	110mc	<i>3</i>														
		Woul tax	d you for rates?	eel th	is wa	у ет	en	if	sı	uch	n ac	ti	on	incr	ea	sed	100	cal		
	75	Yes	()	()=	1	N	10	()		()	<	0							
76	16.	ро у	ou want	to se	e hous	sing	ı de	ens	ity	<i>y</i> c	cont	ro	lle	1?	¥					
		Yes	()	() =	-/	N	IO.	()		()	=	= 1)						
				. f	•			`	,		()		O							
		Even	if +hia	. maa	المالية	. .	•••					•								
		have	if this	rmini	s givi	rng v +b	up	ce:	rta	iln ;	ri	gh	ts :	Land	OW	ners	no	WC		
	27																			
To the second se			()		-															
1	78	I UI a	es, what l areas	OI TO	vn:	rec	omn	ieno	l a	ıs	the	m.	inin	num	lot	t si	ze	in		
_		()	() 1/ () 1 () 2 () 5 () Ot	2 ac	cre	(ex	ist	inc	ı m	ijn	imı	m -	in I	2-20	ء ۾	c+~	;	٠ ١		
		()	() 1	ac	cre	(ex	ist	inc	j m	in	imu	m :	in F	2-45	di	istr	ict	:)		
		()	() 2	ac	cres															
		()	() 0+	her	cres								I	np	7	- 7	7			
		, ,	. , 00				. 6.				_			Q			•			

Do you think the following resources need increased local protection above and beyond that afforded by existing state and federal regulations?

Please check () to indicate the response that best describes your feelings towards the protection of each type of area:

				<u>Yes</u>	No	Know	Not Concerned
3		Farmland Woodlands Historic Site	es		=/() () : () () () () () () () () ()	Z()()= ()() ()() ()() ()() ()()	=3()()=9 ()() ()() ()() ()() ()()
.s **	WIT.	you answered ' ling to pay for reased taxes):	or the	protect	ion of the	se features	ı be (through
	SHO	t are some of uld be reini are referring	iorcea	and su	pported?	f the Town v Be specific Volvey Colorate Co	as to what
							(e
			the p				

Please use the space below for anything else you would like to say about the type of development you would like to see in the Town of Kirkland. Also any comments you wish to make that you think may help us in future efforts to understand what Kirkland residents want from their community will be appreciated either here or in a separate letter.

1= response

For 87-89 - responses, it summized, should be Keyed

APPENDIX B SOCIO-ECONOMIC DATA

TABLE 1: Population Statistics for the Town of Kirkland

Po	pulation	Trends
20	1,000000010	A I CIMAS

		Total	Percent Change		
	1970	1980	1990	70-80	80-90
Town of Kirkland	9,688	10,334	10,153	6.7%	-1.8%
Village of Clinton	-	2,107	2,238	-	6.2%
Oneida County	273,037	253,466	250,836	-7.2%	-1.0%
New York	18,236,960	17,558,072	17,990,455	-3.7%	2.5%

Population Profile, Town of Kirkland

			Kirkland		New	York State
Age	19	80	199	90	1980	1990
	Total	Percent	Total	Percent	Percent	Percent
Under 20	3,497	33.84%	2,898	28.54%	30.30%	26.70%
20-64	5,632	54.50%	5,844	57.56%	57.40%	60.20%
65+	1,205	11.66%	1,411	13.90%	12.30%	13.10%

In Group Quarters, Town of Kirkland

	1980	1990
Institutional		
Nursing Homes	200	223
Juvenile Institutions	0	11
Other	6	12
NonInstitutional		
College Dormitories	1,516	1,565
Other	9	12
Total	1,731	1.823

Race and Ethnicity, 1990

	Kirland	Oneida Co.	New York
White	97.50%	92.67%	74.40%
Black	0.99%	5.45%	15.89%
Other	1.51%	1.88%	9.71%
Hispanic Origin	1.29%	2.31%	12 31%

Household Trends for Kirkland, 1980 and 1990

and the second s		
	1980	1990
Total Households	3037	3285
Persons in household	8603	8330
Av. Household Size	2.83	2.54

Average Household Size, 1980 and 1990

	1980	1990
Kirkland	2.83	2.54
Oneida County	2.66	2.55
New York State	3.01	2.70

Source: 1990 Census of Population and Housing.

	1980	1990
Town of Kirkland	10,334	10,153
Village of Clinton	2,107	2,238
In Group Quarters	1,731	1,823
Remainder Kirkland	6496	6092

TABLE 2: Per Capita Income Estimates, New York, Oneida County, and Kirkland Township

Area					
	1987	1979	% change	1987 adj	% change
Kirkland Town	\$12,558	\$6,976	80.0%	\$7,156	2.6%
Clinton Village	\$14,516	\$8,202	77.0%	\$8,272	0.9%
Oneida County	\$10,338	\$6,148	68.2%	\$5,891	-4.2%
New York State	\$13,167	\$7,496	75.7%	\$7,503	0.1%

1987 adj is the 1987 per capita income estimate adjusted to 1979 dollars

SOURCE: Bureau of the Census, compiled by the Technical Assistance Center, SUNY Plattsburgh.

TABLE 3: Housing Characteristics for the Town of Kirkland, 1980 and 1990

	1970	1980	1990
Total Units	2634	3139	3444
Tenure:	1970	1980	1990
Owner Occupied	1812	2196	2274
Renter Occupied	692	841	1011
Total Occupied	2504	3037	3285
Vacant:			
For Rent	69	42	68
For Sale	13	30	25
Occasional Use	5	. 9	11
Other vacant	43	20	55
Total Vacant	130	101	159
Total Vacancy Rate	4.9%	3.2%	4.6%
Homeowner Vacancy Rate*	0.7%	1.3%	1.1%
Rental Vacancy Rate*	9.1%	4.8%	6.3%

^{*} For 1970 and 1980, calculated by the consultant.

		,	
Structure Type:	1970	1980	1990
1 unit, detached	1902	2052	2205
1 unit, Attached*		26	48
2 units	691	423	346
3 or more*		424	628
mobile home	36	211	179
other		3	38
Total**	2629	3139	3444

SOURCE: 1970, 1980, and 1990 Census of Population and Housing

	1980	1990
Town of Kirkland (Total)	3139	3444
Village of Clinton (Total)	845	969
Village of Clinton (10+ Units)	75	86
Remainder Kirkland (10+ Units)	140	348
Remainder Kirkland (1-9 units)	2229	2213

^{*} For 1970, included in preceding value.
** For 1970, does not include occasional use.

TABLE 4: Housing Value and Rent Statistics for Kirkland

Value of Specified Owner-Occupied Units

	1980	1990
Lower Quartile		\$73,100
Median	\$40,600	\$96,300
Upper Quartile		\$134,900

Contract Rent of Specified Renter-Occupied Units

	1980	1990
Lower Quartile		\$254
Median	\$180	\$358
Upper Quartile		\$450

1980 and 1990 Comparative Figures

	Oneida Co.		New	New York State	
	1980	1990	1980	1990	
Median home value	\$33,000	\$72,300	\$45,900	\$131,600	
Median Conract rent	\$150	\$297	\$211	\$428	

Comparison of Kirkland, Clinton, and Clark Mills, 1990

Lower quartile home value Median home value Upper quartile home value	<i>Kirkland</i>	Clinton	Clark Mills
	\$73,100	\$78,300	\$52,700
	\$96,300	\$98,000	\$72,600
	\$134,900	\$131,900	\$93,200
Lower quartile rent Median Conract rent Upper quartile rent	\$254	\$302	\$243
	\$358	\$382	\$338
	\$450	\$492	\$419

SOURCE: 1980 and 1990 Census of Population and Housing.

TABLE 5: Structure of the State and Oneida County Economies

Sectors by the Number Employed, 1980 and 1988

		New York (in 100	00's)	
Sector	1980	% of Total	1988	% of Total
Agricultural Services, etc	34.2	0.5%	45.1	0.6%
Manufacturing	1445.2	20.3%	1214.5	15.0%
Construction	209.2	2.9%	337.0	4.2%
Transportation, Public Utilities	412.5	5.8%	401.9	5.0%
Retail and Wholesale Trade	1465.4	20.6%	1718.8	21.3%
Finance, Insurance, and Real Estate	623.6	8.8%	791.5	9.8%
Services and Misc.	1668.33	23.5%	2192.7	27.2%
Government*	1255.0	17.6%	1372.6	17.0%
Total	7113.5	100%	8074.1	100%
		Oneida County		
Sector	1980	% of Total	1988	% of Total
Agricultural Services, etc	440	0.5%	540	0.5%
Manufacturing	21666	23.6%	19066	18.6%
Construction	2424	2.6%	3293	3.2%
Transportation, Public Utilities	3462	3.8%	3690	3.6%
Retail and Wholesale Trade	18813	20.5%	23179	22.6%
Finance, Insurance, and Real Estate	5001	5.5%	6541	6.4%
Services and Misc.	17263	18.8%	22142	21.6%
Government	22662	24.7%	23888	23.3%
Total	91731	100%	102339	100%

TABLE 6: Labor Force Statistics

Labor Force for New York State, Utica-Rome MSA, and Oneida County: 1980 and July, 1991

Area	Labor Force		Employed		Unemployed	
	1980	Jul-91	1980	Jul-91	1980	Jul-91
New York State	7978	8703	7381	8099	597	604
Utica-Rome MSA	136.2	138.9	126.2	130.3	10.1	8.6
Oneida County	107.4	108.2	99.9	101.7	7.5	6.5

Source: New York State Department of Labor

Unemployment Rates for Oneida County and New York State by Month

		Utica-	
	Oneida Co.	Rome	New York
1990			
Feb-91	5.5%	5.8%	5.5%
Mar-91	5.0	5.3	5.3
Apr-91	4.4	4.6	4.8
May-91	4.2	4.4	5.1
Jun-91	3.8	4.0	4.7
Jul-91	3.8	4.0	5.2
Aug-91	3.5	3.7	4.8
Sep-91	3.7	3.7	5.5
Oct-91	3.9	4.3	5.4
Nov-91	4.0	4.4	5.3
Dec-91	5.1	5.8	5.4
1991			
Jan-91	7.8	8.7	7.1
Feb-91	6.8	7.4	6.6
Mar-91	7.1	7.7	7.1
Apr-91	7.0	7.4	7.3
May-91	7.0	7.5	7.4
Jun-91	6.9	7.0	7.2
Jul-91	6.0	6.2	6.9
Aug-91	6.1	6.2	7.2

NOTE: Rates are not seasonally adjusted.

SOURCE: New York State Department of Labor.

TABLE 7: Resident Employment Profile for Kirkland, 1980

Occupations		
•	1980	% of Total
Managerial and Professional	1469	33.3%
Technical, Sales, and Administrative Support	1355	30.8%
Service Occupations	608	13.8%
Farming, Forestry, etc	118	2.7%
Precision Production, Craft, and Repair	431	9.8%
Operators, Fabricators, Laborers	425	9.6%
Total	4406	100.0%
Class Of Workers		
Private Wage and Salary Worker	3301	74.9%
Federal Government Worker	90	2.0%
State Government Worker	246	5.6%
Local Government Worker	506	11.5%
Self Employed Worker, Family Worker	263	6.0%
Total	4406	100.0%
Industries		
Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing, Mining	144	3.3%
Constrution	179	4.1%
Manufacturing	759	17.2%
Transportation, Communication, Public Utility	176	4.0%
Retail and Wholesale	853	19.4%
Finance, Insurance, Real Estate	179	4.1%
Services	1965	44.6%
Public Administration	151	3.4%
Total	4406	100.0%
Place of Work (Kirkland residents)		
Worked in Kirkland	1251	29.1%
Worked in Utica	1505	35.0%
Worked in remainder Onieida/Herkimer Counties	1161	27.0%
Worked outside Oneida/Herkimer Counties	162	3.8%
Not Reported	217	5.1%
Total	4296	100.0%

SOURCE: 1980 Census of Population and Housing.

Table 8: Onieda County Farm Statistics

Field Crops (1000 acres harvested)

	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990			
Corn	41.6		33.2		43	43.4			
Wheat	1.5		0.5		0.9	0.7			
Oats	8.6		7.2		7.9	6.4			3
Dry Hay	72.5		81		81.5	77.6			
Cattle Inventory									
	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991		
All Cattle & Calves (1000's)			67	61			61		
Milk Cows (1000's)	37		36.5	32		33	32		
Beef Cows (1000's)	2.7		2.9	3		1.7	1.6		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990
Milk Production	427	457.5	452.6	459.9	448.5	459	461.5	451.8	437.9
(million pounds)									
Apple Production									
	1985								
Farms	9								
Trees	5,630								
Acres	150								

	1700
Farms	9
Trees	5,630
Acres	150
Production (bushels)	48,000

Source: New York State Department of Agriculture and Markets, Agricultural Statistics Service.

Farm Employment 1982 and 1987

	Oneida County	New York State
Farming Employment	•	
1982	882	25,564
1987	840	22,977
Average Annual Change	-0.98%	-2.13%
Other Agricultural Employment		
1982	469	16,643
1987	411	14,766
Average Annual Change	-2.64%	-2.39%

TABLE 9: Property Tax Information

1990 Real Property Tax Levies and Assessments

		Town or	Special	School	
	County	Village	District	District	Total
Kirkland	\$1,342,824	\$631,116	\$361,054	\$4,271,382	\$6,606,376
Clinton Village		\$358,690			\$358,690

1990 Real Property Tax Rates

										I otal C	verall	
			City or	S	cho	ol	Total	Overall	Eq.	Ful	l Value	
Place	County	Town	Village	D	istri	ct	Ran	ge	Rate	Ran	ge	
Kirkland	22.44	10.55	-	38.97	to	70.48	71.96 to	103.5	0.2717	19.55 to	28.11	
Clinton (V)	22.44	10.55	19.25			70.48	•	122.7	0.3438		34.73	
New Hartford T.	97.39	14.65		187.69	to	293.04	299.7 to	405.1	0.0668	20.02 to	27.06	
Utica	71.59		82.83			107.12		261.5	0.125		34.44	

1989 Taxable Valuation, Fiscal Year ended December, 1989

Area	Total Full Value		
	(000)		
Oneida County	\$4,316,199		
Kirkland	\$189,259	4.38%	of Oneida County
Clinton V.	\$47,261	24.97%	of Kirkland Town